Local Government OMBUDSMAN

The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter **Gloucester City Council**

for the year ended 31 March 2008

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2007/08 - Introduction

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about Gloucester City Council. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume

We received 16 complaints against your Council during the year, two more than last year. We expect fluctuations like this from year to year.

Character

Eight complaints were about housing and six about planning and building control, double the number of complaints about those functions last year. One was about benefits and one was recorded under the 'Other' category.

We received no complaints about public finance or transport and highways.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and local settlements

When we complete an investigation we issue a report. I issued no reports against your Council this year.

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council has agreed to take some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint. The investigation is then discontinued. In 2007/08 the Local Government Ombudsmen determined some 27% of complaints by local settlement (excluding 'premature' complaints - where councils have not had a proper chance to deal with them - and those outside our jurisdiction).

We proposed no local settlements this year.

Other findings

Eight complaints were treated as premature and referred back to your Council so they could first be considered through your Council's complaints procedure.

In two complaints I took the view that the matters complained of were outside my jurisdiction.

In the remaining complaint I did not pursue the matter because no evidence of maladministration was seen.

Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

Half the complaints received were considered to be premature. That is a high proportion and a large increase on the number received last year. It is also higher than the national average of 27%. Your website gives a clear direction on how to complain both to the Council and to me. It may be that officers need to be more proactive in directing dissatisfied members of the public to the Council's own complaints procedure at an earlier point in the Council's interaction with service users.

Two of the complaints referred back to your Council for consideration under its own complaints procedure were resubmitted to me and in one I reached the view that there was no evidence of maladministration; the other is still under consideration.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

Last year I commented on the Council's unacceptable response times to my enquiries when the average response time was 41 days. This year the average response time is 33.7 days which is a welcome improvement although still not within my target response time of 28 days. I congratulate the Council on the efforts made to achieve this improvement and hope that work will continue on improving response times still further and reaching the target in the year to come.

Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. This year we carried out a detailed evaluation of the training with councils that have been trained over the past three years. The results are very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. In addition to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we now offer these courses specifically for social services staff and a course on reviewing complaints for social care review panel members. We can run open courses for groups of staff from different smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

LGO developments

We launched the LGO Advice Team in April, providing a first contact service for all enquirers and new complainants. Demand for the service has been high. Our team of advisers, trained to provide comprehensive information and advice, have dealt with many thousands of calls since the service started.

The team handles complaints submitted by telephone, email or text, as well as in writing. This new power to accept complaints other than in writing was one of the provisions of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, which also came into force in April. Our experience of implementing other provisions in the Act, such as complaints about service failure and apparent maladministration, is being kept under review and will be subject to further discussion. Any feedback from your Council would be welcome.

Last year we published two special reports providing advice and guidance on 'applications for prior approval of telecommunications masts' and 'citizen redress in local partnerships'. I would appreciate your feedback on these, particularly on any complaints protocols put in place as part of the overall governance arrangements for partnerships your Council has set up.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

J R White Local Government Ombudsman The Oaks No2 Westwood Way Westwood Business Park Coventry CV4 8JB

June 2008

Enc: Statistical data Note on interpretation of statistics Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2007 -	1	8	1	6	0	0	16
31/03/2008 2006 / 2007	2	3	5	3	1	0	14
2005 / 2006	3	8	9	9	0	1	30

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

D	ecisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
	01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	8	3	11
	2006 / 2007	0	4	0	0	5	4	4	1	17	18
	2005 / 2006	0	3	0	0	10	4	2	10	19	29

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES					
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond				
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	3	33.7				
2006 / 2007	10	41.1				
2005 / 2006	13	33.8				

Average local authority response times 01/04/2007 to 31/03/2008

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days	
	%	%	%	
District Councils	56.4	24.6	19.1	
Unitary Authorities	41.3	50.0	8.7	
Metropolitan Authorities	58.3	30.6	11.1	
County Councils	47.1	38.2	14.7	
London Boroughs	45.5	27.3	27.3	
National Park Authorities	71.4	28.6	0.0	